

PLYMOUTH WEEKLY DEMOCRAT,
PUBLISHED EVERY THURSDAY BY
A. C. THOMPSON, Prop'r.
OFFICE OVER PIERCE'S CLOTHING STORE.

JOB PRINTING.
Executed with neatness and dispatch, here.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.
One copy, in advance or within the year, \$1.50;
if not paid, \$2.00.

Business Directory.

Marshall County, Ind.

Auditor—AUSTIN FULLER.
Clerk—HEZEKIAH R. PERSHING.
Treasurer—NATHAN OGLESBEE.
Sheriff—O. M. BARNARD.
Recorder—THOMAS K. HOUGHTON.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CORBIN & OSBORN

Attorneys at Law, Office in Bank building, Plymouth, Indiana.

LEANDER GROVER

Attorney at Law and Notary Public, Knox, Stark county, Ind., will practice in the several counties of this judicial circuit, and attend promptly to the payment of taxes, and collections of claims.

REEVE & CAPRON

Attorneys and Notaries, Plymouth, Marshall Co., Ind., practice in Marshall and adjoining counties. Razzano, Bates & Co., Phelps, Dodge & Co., New York, Colwell, Farwell & Co., Gould & Bro., Chicago, London & Co., Phila., Graff, Benette & Co., Pittsburgh, Hon. A. L. Osborn, Circuit Judge, LaPorte, Ind.

A. W. PORTER

Attorney at Law, Notary Public and Real Estate Agent, Knox, Stark county, Ind. Collection of debts, payment of taxes, and all legal business promptly attended to.

PHYSICIANS.

DR. T. A. BORTON

Physician and Surgeon, office over Pershing's & Co.'s Drug Store, in Dr. A. O. Borton's Dental Rooms, Michigan street, east side corner of Canal, where he may be consulted during office hours.

J. J. VINA L.

Homeopathic Physician—Particular attention paid to obstetric practice, and chronic diseases of women, and diseases of children, office over C. Palmer's store, corner Michigan and LaPorte streets, where he may be consulted at all hours.

DR. J. T. CHALMERS

From Baltimore, will practice medicine, surgery and obstetrics. Permanently located in Tyler City, Marshall county, Indiana. Office one door south of Cushman & Bissell's Store.

HOTELS.

EDWARDS' HOUSE.

Plymouth, Ind. W. C. Edwards, Proprietor.

GAMBRIEL'S EXCHANGE.

A. Gambrell proprietor, situated at the junction of the P. & W. & C. R. R. and P. & C. R. R., Plymouth, Ind. Meets all hours of the day and everything necessary for the comfort of guests.

BENDER HOUSE.

J. H. Adair, Proprietor, Knox, Stark county, Ind. Good Fare, Convenient Stabling, and every exertion made to render this House worthy of public patronage.

FARMER'S HOTEL.

La Porte street, near the Depot, Plymouth, Ind. Good Fare, Low Bills, and every attention paid to render the stay of all who patronize the Farmers' agreeable. Good and convenient stabling for those having horses.

MISCELLANEOUS.

J. M. KLINGER.

Proprietor of "Buckeye Livery," opposite Edwards' House, Plymouth, Ind. n37ly

H. PIERCE

Dealer in ready-made clothing, cloths of all kinds, and manufactures to order everything in his line, store under Democrat office, Plymouth, Ind.

JOHN L. WOODWARD.

General dealer in all kinds of family groceries, provisions, queensware, etc. LaPorte street, Plymouth, Ind.

H. B. DICKSON & Co.

Dealer in hardware of every description, also, stores, tin, sheet iron, and copper ware.

E. R. SHOK.

Merchant tailor, one door west of H. Pierce's clothing store, Plymouth, Ind.

JOHN ANDERSON

Barber and hair dresser, (one door south of H. B. Woodward), Michigan street, Plymouth, Ind. Everything in the above business attended to by me in the best style.

C. L. HILL.

Dealer in books and stationery, wall and window paper, all kinds of musical instruments; also manufactures blank books, etc. Ft. Wayne.

JOHN M. SHOEMAKER.

Dealer in watches, clocks and jewelry, Plymouth, Ind. Keep constantly on hand clocks, watches, brass pins, ear rings, finger rings, lockets, etc. Clocks and watches, etc., repaired in the best manner possible.

D. McWILLIAMS.

Dealer in staple and fancy dry goods, groceries, etc., west side Michigan street, Plymouth, Ind.

G. BLAIN & Co.

Druggists and confectioners, west side of Michigan street, Plymouth, Ind.

S. & M. BECKER.

Dealers in staple and fancy dry goods and groceries, west side Michigan street, Plymouth, Ind.

RICE & SMITH.

Dealers in staple and fancy dry goods, family groceries, etc., one door south of the Edwards' house, Plymouth, Ind.

ADOLPH MYER.

Dealer in watches, clocks, jewelry and notions east side, Michigan street, Plymouth, Ind.

J. BROWNLEE.

Dealer in dry goods of all kinds, groceries, wares, etc., Michigan street, Plymouth, Ind.

C. HASLANGER & BROS.

Manufacturers of wagons, carriages, etc. Blacksmithing, painting and graining done to order. See columns.

T. A. LEMON.

Dealer in drugs, medicines, notions, literary magazines, papers, etc., north side LaPorte street, Plymouth, Ind.

T. MEDONALD.

Real estate agent and notary public, office in Dickson's hardware store, Plymouth, Ind. Draws deeds, mortgages, bonds, and agreements, sells lands, examines titles and furnishes abstracts of the same; pays taxes and redeems land sold for taxes.

E. PAUL.

Dealer in boots and shoes, manufactures all kinds of home work in his line, Michigan street, Plymouth, Ind.

SEASONED LUMBER.

ANY BILL FOR POPLAR LUMBER—

Dry or Green—I am prepared to fill at the LOWEST PRICE, and of the BEST QUALITY; also, SHINGLES on hand constantly.

mar15-24

H. PIERCE.

The Plymouth Weekly Democrat.

VOL. 1.]

PLYMOUTH, INDIANA, THURSDAY, AUGUST 16, 1860.

[NO. 30.]

THE PLYMOUTH DEMOCRAT.

A. C. THOMPSON, Editor.

PLYMOUTH, INDIANA.

THURSDAY MORNING, AUGUST 16.

From the South.

The political news from the South is most encouraging for Douglas, and it is now declared by those who are well informed, that he will receive the vote of a majority of the Southern States—South as well as North, he is gaining strength all the time. Conservative men of all parties and from all sections of the country are seeing things as they exist, and are throwing their influence for the representative man of the people, and for the doctrine of non-intervention, which is the only policy that can be carried into effect and the union of the States perpetuated. They see, that if Congress should undertake to intervene and regulate the subject or institution of slavery in the Territories—pass an act and attempt to enforce it, that Lincoln would be the inevitable result. Should Douglas be elected and his policy settled upon, and Congress undertake to prohibit slavery in the Territories, no one, of any information, can doubt for a moment but that the Southern States would not submit to what they would consider an encroachment or deprivation of their rights. On the other hand, should Breckinridge be elected, and it was possible for him to carry into effect the doctrine that Congress should protect slavery in the Territories until they became States, then the North would not submit. Southern men see this to be the practical working of the doctrine of Congressional intervention, and those who would rather stay in the Union than be compelled, from a sense of honor and right to withdraw from it, are supporting Douglas and Johnson, as representatives of the doctrine of non-intervention. They know that the Abolition party of the North has a majority in the House of Congress and that, if they thought they dared to, they would at once abolish slavery in every form in the Territories, whether the inhabitants of those Territories desired it or not, and they now see more plainly than ever before, that non-intervention by Congress, is the doctrine, and the only one that will give equal rights to all the citizens of the States—the same as they enjoy them in the respective States of the Union—the right of regulating their own affairs as they may choose, subject to the Constitution of the United States.

The plain truth is, the Republican party of the North and the Fire-eaters of the South, are both contending for abstractness. So far as the principle is concerned, it is the same—the only difference is in the application of their doctrine. The North wants Congress to intervene to prohibit slavery in the Territories, and the South wants the same body to intervene for the protection or establishment of it—slavery. So far as the consistency of the contest is concerned, the Northern, or Republican party certainly have the best show, as they have a majority in the House of Congress, and would, had they the power granted them, prohibit slavery going into any territories belonging to the United States. But so far as the Southern States are concerned, their actions are, apparently, nothing short of suicidal, to the interests of that portion of the country. We do not wish to censure the motives of any set of men, but we fear that the leaders of the Southern interventionists are not, all of them, actuated by the best of motives for the perpetuity of the Union. They understand the whole affair, and know full well that, should Douglas withdraw from before the American people as candidate for the Presidency, that Breckinridge could not carry any of the doubtful Northern States, and by their persisting in running electoral tickets in these Northern States, they are throwing the chances in favor of Lincoln—a man whose administration they will not submit to, should he and his party attempt to carry out their policy. They, knowing these things, apparently, have not the regard for the perpetuity of the Union that they should have.

These things being thoroughly discussed before the people of the South now, and the discussions are resulting in a vast number of converts to the doctrine of non-intervention by Congress with slavery in the Territories; and it is to be hoped that before it is too late, they will see, believe and act, that a safe policy will be inaugurated, of which Stephen A. Douglas is the embodiment. We believe they will.

Tippecanoe Club Meeting.

The following proceedings of the Tippecanoe Democratic Club were intended for last week's issue, but were, as we stated, crowded out. The spirit and determination of the Democrats in that Abolition corner is worthy of imitation by the Democrats of the entire country. The Republicans thereabout think it almost too much to bear to have a regularly organized Democratic Club in the place, and some worthless scoundrels was so unprincipled as to tout the pole, raised by the Democrats, down. We advise our Democratic friends of that vicinity to keep a close watch over their own roots. However, we are confident that the Democrats of Tippecanoe will take care of themselves.—Ed.

For the Democrat.

Reply to G. P. Cherry.

EDITOR DEMOCRAT—I ask space in your paper to reply to an article that appeared in the Republican of the 21st inst, over the signature of one G. P. CHERRY, who, in his own imagination, came down on me in a very severe manner. It was just as severe as if MATTHEW had written it himself. In the article referred to, there were some false statements made that I will allude to in a brief manner as possible. I said that I sought an interview with him; that I came to the field where he was at work, and tried to make the impression that I had sought him to make an explanation. This is simply untrue. I was passing by where he was in the field, when he called to me and said he wished to speak with me. It was asking him, then I sought him; and if his saying to me that he wanted to speak with me, can be understood that I asked an interview with him, then CHERRY's statement is true, if not, then the Rev. gentleman lied. I never sought an interview with him at any time; neither did I have a private interview with him, only the time referred to, and I may add that I never desired another conversation with him unless there is a third person present, as I have not the least faith in his honesty, nor with any other man that will misrepresent as he has in this case. As to my being so lazy and worthless, I only have to say that it comes with a very poor grace from a man of his known worthlessness, do-nothing and shiftless habits, to accuse another of being indolent. It can only be accounted for on the principle that "misery loves company," and knowing himself to be utterly worthless, he thought it the greatest stigma he could throw at me to say that I was as trifling as every one of his acquaintance knew him to be. I expect to maintain myself and family without any help from the old hypocrite, and until he is troubled in some way by myself or family for something to subsist upon I regard it as none of his business about my private affairs. As he regards it as being so little importance what I say about anybody or anything, I hope it will not give him any trouble if I ask him why it was that he squirmed and writhed so on account of the statements I made concerning his harangue? It is rumored that he rode a horse nearly down to obtain the signers to the statement that appeared in the Republican of the 21st. If their statement did him any good he is certainly easily satisfied. Such a statement would do me but a very little "poor good." These signers only said that they did not "understand" Mr. CHERRY to say what he was reported to have said. If they could not say that much for their "friend in distress," he would doubtless have considered them very unobedient, and, probably, for the purpose of getting rid of him they signed the statement; but I am convinced that it was more for the good of the Republican party than for a regard for him, that they were induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party. One of the signers to that article, not very long since, laid, and expressed a very correct idea of the Rev. gentleman. CHERRY just moved to a new place and was spreading himself and blowing generally, trying to impress the people of his new neighborhood with the idea that he was a great man. In speaking of him one day this friend and signer of his, said that CHERRY reminded him of the fable about the animal with long ears that he was induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party. One of the signers to that article, not very long since, laid, and expressed a very correct idea of the Rev. gentleman. CHERRY just moved to a new place and was spreading himself and blowing generally, trying to impress the people of his new neighborhood with the idea that he was a great man. In speaking of him one day this friend and signer of his, said that CHERRY reminded him of the fable about the animal with long ears that he was induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party. One of the signers to that article, not very long since, laid, and expressed a very correct idea of the Rev. gentleman. CHERRY just moved to a new place and was spreading himself and blowing generally, trying to impress the people of his new neighborhood with the idea that he was a great man. In speaking of him one day this friend and signer of his, said that CHERRY reminded him of the fable about the animal with long ears that he was induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party.

For the Democrat.

Reply to G. P. Cherry.

EDITOR DEMOCRAT—I ask space in your paper to reply to an article that appeared in the Republican of the 21st inst, over the signature of one G. P. CHERRY, who, in his own imagination, came down on me in a very severe manner. It was just as severe as if MATTHEW had written it himself. In the article referred to, there were some false statements made that I will allude to in a brief manner as possible. I said that I sought an interview with him; that I came to the field where he was at work, and tried to make the impression that I had sought him to make an explanation. This is simply untrue. I was passing by where he was in the field, when he called to me and said he wished to speak with me. It was asking him, then I sought him; and if his saying to me that he wanted to speak with me, can be understood that I asked an interview with him, then CHERRY's statement is true, if not, then the Rev. gentleman lied. I never sought an interview with him at any time; neither did I have a private interview with him, only the time referred to, and I may add that I never desired another conversation with him unless there is a third person present, as I have not the least faith in his honesty, nor with any other man that will misrepresent as he has in this case. As to my being so lazy and worthless, I only have to say that it comes with a very poor grace from a man of his known worthlessness, do-nothing and shiftless habits, to accuse another of being indolent. It can only be accounted for on the principle that "misery loves company," and knowing himself to be utterly worthless, he thought it the greatest stigma he could throw at me to say that I was as trifling as every one of his acquaintance knew him to be. I expect to maintain myself and family without any help from the old hypocrite, and until he is troubled in some way by myself or family for something to subsist upon I regard it as none of his business about my private affairs. As he regards it as being so little importance what I say about anybody or anything, I hope it will not give him any trouble if I ask him why it was that he squirmed and writhed so on account of the statements I made concerning his harangue? It is rumored that he rode a horse nearly down to obtain the signers to the statement that appeared in the Republican of the 21st. If their statement did him any good he is certainly easily satisfied. Such a statement would do me but a very little "poor good." These signers only said that they did not "understand" Mr. CHERRY to say what he was reported to have said. If they could not say that much for their "friend in distress," he would doubtless have considered them very unobedient, and, probably, for the purpose of getting rid of him they signed the statement; but I am convinced that it was more for the good of the Republican party than for a regard for him, that they were induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party. One of the signers to that article, not very long since, laid, and expressed a very correct idea of the Rev. gentleman. CHERRY just moved to a new place and was spreading himself and blowing generally, trying to impress the people of his new neighborhood with the idea that he was a great man. In speaking of him one day this friend and signer of his, said that CHERRY reminded him of the fable about the animal with long ears that he was induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party.

For the Democrat.

Reply to G. P. Cherry.

EDITOR DEMOCRAT—I ask space in your paper to reply to an article that appeared in the Republican of the 21st inst, over the signature of one G. P. CHERRY, who, in his own imagination, came down on me in a very severe manner. It was just as severe as if MATTHEW had written it himself. In the article referred to, there were some false statements made that I will allude to in a brief manner as possible. I said that I sought an interview with him; that I came to the field where he was at work, and tried to make the impression that I had sought him to make an explanation. This is simply untrue. I was passing by where he was in the field, when he called to me and said he wished to speak with me. It was asking him, then I sought him; and if his saying to me that he wanted to speak with me, can be understood that I asked an interview with him, then CHERRY's statement is true, if not, then the Rev. gentleman lied. I never sought an interview with him at any time; neither did I have a private interview with him, only the time referred to, and I may add that I never desired another conversation with him unless there is a third person present, as I have not the least faith in his honesty, nor with any other man that will misrepresent as he has in this case. As to my being so lazy and worthless, I only have to say that it comes with a very poor grace from a man of his known worthlessness, do-nothing and shiftless habits, to accuse another of being indolent. It can only be accounted for on the principle that "misery loves company," and knowing himself to be utterly worthless, he thought it the greatest stigma he could throw at me to say that I was as trifling as every one of his acquaintance knew him to be. I expect to maintain myself and family without any help from the old hypocrite, and until he is troubled in some way by myself or family for something to subsist upon I regard it as none of his business about my private affairs. As he regards it as being so little importance what I say about anybody or anything, I hope it will not give him any trouble if I ask him why it was that he squirmed and writhed so on account of the statements I made concerning his harangue? It is rumored that he rode a horse nearly down to obtain the signers to the statement that appeared in the Republican of the 21st. If their statement did him any good he is certainly easily satisfied. Such a statement would do me but a very little "poor good." These signers only said that they did not "understand" Mr. CHERRY to say what he was reported to have said. If they could not say that much for their "friend in distress," he would doubtless have considered them very unobedient, and, probably, for the purpose of getting rid of him they signed the statement; but I am convinced that it was more for the good of the Republican party than for a regard for him, that they were induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party. One of the signers to that article, not very long since, laid, and expressed a very correct idea of the Rev. gentleman. CHERRY just moved to a new place and was spreading himself and blowing generally, trying to impress the people of his new neighborhood with the idea that he was a great man. In speaking of him one day this friend and signer of his, said that CHERRY reminded him of the fable about the animal with long ears that he was induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party.

For the Democrat.

Reply to G. P. Cherry.

EDITOR DEMOCRAT—I ask space in your paper to reply to an article that appeared in the Republican of the 21st inst, over the signature of one G. P. CHERRY, who, in his own imagination, came down on me in a very severe manner. It was just as severe as if MATTHEW had written it himself. In the article referred to, there were some false statements made that I will allude to in a brief manner as possible. I said that I sought an interview with him; that I came to the field where he was at work, and tried to make the impression that I had sought him to make an explanation. This is simply untrue. I was passing by where he was in the field, when he called to me and said he wished to speak with me. It was asking him, then I sought him; and if his saying to me that he wanted to speak with me, can be understood that I asked an interview with him, then CHERRY's statement is true, if not, then the Rev. gentleman lied. I never sought an interview with him at any time; neither did I have a private interview with him, only the time referred to, and I may add that I never desired another conversation with him unless there is a third person present, as I have not the least faith in his honesty, nor with any other man that will misrepresent as he has in this case. As to my being so lazy and worthless, I only have to say that it comes with a very poor grace from a man of his known worthlessness, do-nothing and shiftless habits, to accuse another of being indolent. It can only be accounted for on the principle that "misery loves company," and knowing himself to be utterly worthless, he thought it the greatest stigma he could throw at me to say that I was as trifling as every one of his acquaintance knew him to be. I expect to maintain myself and family without any help from the old hypocrite, and until he is troubled in some way by myself or family for something to subsist upon I regard it as none of his business about my private affairs. As he regards it as being so little importance what I say about anybody or anything, I hope it will not give him any trouble if I ask him why it was that he squirmed and writhed so on account of the statements I made concerning his harangue? It is rumored that he rode a horse nearly down to obtain the signers to the statement that appeared in the Republican of the 21st. If their statement did him any good he is certainly easily satisfied. Such a statement would do me but a very little "poor good." These signers only said that they did not "understand" Mr. CHERRY to say what he was reported to have said. If they could not say that much for their "friend in distress," he would doubtless have considered them very unobedient, and, probably, for the purpose of getting rid of him they signed the statement; but I am convinced that it was more for the good of the Republican party than for a regard for him, that they were induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party. One of the signers to that article, not very long since, laid, and expressed a very correct idea of the Rev. gentleman. CHERRY just moved to a new place and was spreading himself and blowing generally, trying to impress the people of his new neighborhood with the idea that he was a great man. In speaking of him one day this friend and signer of his, said that CHERRY reminded him of the fable about the animal with long ears that he was induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party.

For the Democrat.

Reply to G. P. Cherry.

EDITOR DEMOCRAT—I ask space in your paper to reply to an article that appeared in the Republican of the 21st inst, over the signature of one G. P. CHERRY, who, in his own imagination, came down on me in a very severe manner. It was just as severe as if MATTHEW had written it himself. In the article referred to, there were some false statements made that I will allude to in a brief manner as possible. I said that I sought an interview with him; that I came to the field where he was at work, and tried to make the impression that I had sought him to make an explanation. This is simply untrue. I was passing by where he was in the field, when he called to me and said he wished to speak with me. It was asking him, then I sought him; and if his saying to me that he wanted to speak with me, can be understood that I asked an interview with him, then CHERRY's statement is true, if not, then the Rev. gentleman lied. I never sought an interview with him at any time; neither did I have a private interview with him, only the time referred to, and I may add that I never desired another conversation with him unless there is a third person present, as I have not the least faith in his honesty, nor with any other man that will misrepresent as he has in this case. As to my being so lazy and worthless, I only have to say that it comes with a very poor grace from a man of his known worthlessness, do-nothing and shiftless habits, to accuse another of being indolent. It can only be accounted for on the principle that "misery loves company," and knowing himself to be utterly worthless, he thought it the greatest stigma he could throw at me to say that I was as trifling as every one of his acquaintance knew him to be. I expect to maintain myself and family without any help from the old hypocrite, and until he is troubled in some way by myself or family for something to subsist upon I regard it as none of his business about my private affairs. As he regards it as being so little importance what I say about anybody or anything, I hope it will not give him any trouble if I ask him why it was that he squirmed and writhed so on account of the statements I made concerning his harangue? It is rumored that he rode a horse nearly down to obtain the signers to the statement that appeared in the Republican of the 21st. If their statement did him any good he is certainly easily satisfied. Such a statement would do me but a very little "poor good." These signers only said that they did not "understand" Mr. CHERRY to say what he was reported to have said. If they could not say that much for their "friend in distress," he would doubtless have considered them very unobedient, and, probably, for the purpose of getting rid of him they signed the statement; but I am convinced that it was more for the good of the Republican party than for a regard for him, that they were induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party. One of the signers to that article, not very long since, laid, and expressed a very correct idea of the Rev. gentleman. CHERRY just moved to a new place and was spreading himself and blowing generally, trying to impress the people of his new neighborhood with the idea that he was a great man. In speaking of him one day this friend and signer of his, said that CHERRY reminded him of the fable about the animal with long ears that he was induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party.

For the Democrat.

Reply to G. P. Cherry.

EDITOR DEMOCRAT—I ask space in your paper to reply to an article that appeared in the Republican of the 21st inst, over the signature of one G. P. CHERRY, who, in his own imagination, came down on me in a very severe manner. It was just as severe as if MATTHEW had written it himself. In the article referred to, there were some false statements made that I will allude to in a brief manner as possible. I said that I sought an interview with him; that I came to the field where he was at work, and tried to make the impression that I had sought him to make an explanation. This is simply untrue. I was passing by where he was in the field, when he called to me and said he wished to speak with me. It was asking him, then I sought him; and if his saying to me that he wanted to speak with me, can be understood that I asked an interview with him, then CHERRY's statement is true, if not, then the Rev. gentleman lied. I never sought an interview with him at any time; neither did I have a private interview with him, only the time referred to, and I may add that I never desired another conversation with him unless there is a third person present, as I have not the least faith in his honesty, nor with any other man that will misrepresent as he has in this case. As to my being so lazy and worthless, I only have to say that it comes with a very poor grace from a man of his known worthlessness, do-nothing and shiftless habits, to accuse another of being indolent. It can only be accounted for on the principle that "misery loves company," and knowing himself to be utterly worthless, he thought it the greatest stigma he could throw at me to say that I was as trifling as every one of his acquaintance knew him to be. I expect to maintain myself and family without any help from the old hypocrite, and until he is troubled in some way by myself or family for something to subsist upon I regard it as none of his business about my private affairs. As he regards it as being so little importance what I say about anybody or anything, I hope it will not give him any trouble if I ask him why it was that he squirmed and writhed so on account of the statements I made concerning his harangue? It is rumored that he rode a horse nearly down to obtain the signers to the statement that appeared in the Republican of the 21st. If their statement did him any good he is certainly easily satisfied. Such a statement would do me but a very little "poor good." These signers only said that they did not "understand" Mr. CHERRY to say what he was reported to have said. If they could not say that much for their "friend in distress," he would doubtless have considered them very unobedient, and, probably, for the purpose of getting rid of him they signed the statement; but I am convinced that it was more for the good of the Republican party than for a regard for him, that they were induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party. One of the signers to that article, not very long since, laid, and expressed a very correct idea of the Rev. gentleman. CHERRY just moved to a new place and was spreading himself and blowing generally, trying to impress the people of his new neighborhood with the idea that he was a great man. In speaking of him one day this friend and signer of his, said that CHERRY reminded him of the fable about the animal with long ears that he was induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party.

For the Democrat.

Reply to G. P. Cherry.

EDITOR DEMOCRAT—I ask space in your paper to reply to an article that appeared in the Republican of the 21st inst, over the signature of one G. P. CHERRY, who, in his own imagination, came down on me in a very severe manner. It was just as severe as if MATTHEW had written it himself. In the article referred to, there were some false statements made that I will allude to in a brief manner as possible. I said that I sought an interview with him; that I came to the field where he was at work, and tried to make the impression that I had sought him to make an explanation. This is simply untrue. I was passing by where he was in the field, when he called to me and said he wished to speak with me. It was asking him, then I sought him; and if his saying to me that he wanted to speak with me, can be understood that I asked an interview with him, then CHERRY's statement is true, if not, then the Rev. gentleman lied. I never sought an interview with him at any time; neither did I have a private interview with him, only the time referred to, and I may add that I never desired another conversation with him unless there is a third person present, as I have not the least faith in his honesty, nor with any other man that will misrepresent as he has in this case. As to my being so lazy and worthless, I only have to say that it comes with a very poor grace from a man of his known worthlessness, do-nothing and shiftless habits, to accuse another of being indolent. It can only be accounted for on the principle that "misery loves company," and knowing himself to be utterly worthless, he thought it the greatest stigma he could throw at me to say that I was as trifling as every one of his acquaintance knew him to be. I expect to maintain myself and family without any help from the old hypocrite, and until he is troubled in some way by myself or family for something to subsist upon I regard it as none of his business about my private affairs. As he regards it as being so little importance what I say about anybody or anything, I hope it will not give him any trouble if I ask him why it was that he squirmed and writhed so on account of the statements I made concerning his harangue? It is rumored that he rode a horse nearly down to obtain the signers to the statement that appeared in the Republican of the 21st. If their statement did him any good he is certainly easily satisfied. Such a statement would do me but a very little "poor good." These signers only said that they did not "understand" Mr. CHERRY to say what he was reported to have said. If they could not say that much for their "friend in distress," he would doubtless have considered them very unobedient, and, probably, for the purpose of getting rid of him they signed the statement; but I am convinced that it was more for the good of the Republican party than for a regard for him, that they were induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party. One of the signers to that article, not very long since, laid, and expressed a very correct idea of the Rev. gentleman. CHERRY just moved to a new place and was spreading himself and blowing generally, trying to impress the people of his new neighborhood with the idea that he was a great man. In speaking of him one day this friend and signer of his, said that CHERRY reminded him of the fable about the animal with long ears that he was induced to sign it. They did not want it generally understood that a man of the character of CHERRY gave himself, was a leader in their party.

For the Democrat.

Reply to G. P. Cherry.

EDITOR DEMOCRAT—I ask space in your paper to reply to an article that appeared in the Republican of the 21st inst, over the signature of one G. P. CHERRY, who, in his own imagination, came down on me in a very severe manner. It was just as severe as if MATTHEW had written it himself. In the article referred to, there were some false statements made that I will allude to in a brief manner as possible. I said that I sought an interview with him; that I came to the field where he was at work, and tried to make the impression that I had sought him to make an explanation. This is simply untrue. I was passing by where he was in the field, when he called to me and said he wished to speak with me. It was asking him, then I sought him; and if his saying to me that he wanted to speak with me, can be understood that I asked an interview with him, then CHERRY's statement is true, if not, then the Rev. gentleman lied. I never sought an interview with him at any time; neither did I have a private interview with him, only the time referred to, and I may add that I never desired another conversation with him unless there is a third person present, as I have not the least faith in his honesty, nor with any other man that will misrepresent as he has in this case. As to my being so lazy and worthless, I only have to say that it comes with a very poor grace from a man of his known worthlessness, do-nothing and shiftless habits, to accuse another of being indolent. It can only be accounted for on the principle that "misery loves company," and knowing himself to be utterly worthless, he thought it the greatest stigma he could throw at me to say that I was as trifling as every one of his acquaintance knew him to be. I expect to maintain myself and family without